

Introduction

The Influenza virus infects about 1 billion people worldwide and kills hundreds of thousands of people each year. The virus frequently mutates, making it a difficult target for antivirals. A mutation in a membrane protein, the M2 ion channel, causes the virus to be insensitive to Amantadine and Rimantadine. Neuraminidase inhibitors are also vulnerable to viral resistance.

We are working to develop new compounds that inhibit influenza A M2 in a unique, possibly irresistible way: i.e. metal complexes of traditional M2 blockers that could also complex (1,2) with the highly conserved selectivity-conferring His37 cluster. The compounds have been tested on M2 peptides reconstituted in liposomes and full-length M2 protein expressed in oocytes, and on viral infection of MDCK cell culture. Absorption spectroscopy was found to be a useful method of identifying metal-complex stability in different buffer solutions.

Methods & Materials

Compound Synthesis

Amantadine or cyclooctylamine were added to bromoacetic acid or 2-bromoacetami d dissolved in solvent. The solution was made basic and then heated and stirred vernight. The desired acids or amides were isolated and characterized by MS, NMR and I ectroscopy. To form the copper complexes, a copper(II) salt was added to a basic solut f ligand (NaH for the amides). Upon addition of copper a deep blue color formed. The opper complexes were isolated and characterized by MS, ICP-M, NMR and IR spectros ome were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The stability of each complex (100 μ M) was tested by UV-vis spectrometry in several buffers.

Stability Assay

The test compounds were dissolved in an organic or aqueous solution. These solutions were diluted to 100 μ M in the buffers used for the Oocyte and Mini-Plaque assays. The solutions were then monitored by UV-vis spectrophotometry for changes in peak shape an tensity over 72 hours.

Liposome Assay

Liposomes were prepared as described previously (2) from E. coli polar lipid with or vithout M2 peptide (0.1 mg peptide/20 mg lipid/ml 50 mM KCl, 50 mM K₂HPO₄, 50 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 6.0), and extruded (200 nm pores). M2 (22-62) peptide was A/Udorn/72, eit WT or S31N. The liposome suspension was diluted 100-fold into 165 mM NaCl, 1.67 mM NaCitrate, 0.33 mM citric acid, pH 6.0. For tests of channel block, metal complex was add to 100 µM 2:00 minutes prior to the valinomycin-induced vesicle polarization, which drives nflux of protons into the liposomes. Then, after CCCP-induced depolarization, backations with HCl were used to normalize the initial valinomycin-induced pH changes in t uffer, allowing the calculation of proton uptake per (nominal) channel per second. Per block relative to complex-free controls was calculated after corrections for background fluxes in protein free controls.

Oocyte Assay

Oocytes from Xenopus laevis (Ecocyte, Austin, TX) were maintained in ND-96⁺⁺ solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1mM MgCl2, 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate, 5 mM PES-NaOH, pH 7.4) at 17°C until injection of ~40 ng of A/Udorn/72, A/Udorn/72 S31N, A/Udorn/72 S31N, H37A mRNA. After injection, the oocytes were maintained in ND96⁺⁺ 7.4 until electrophysiological recording. 72 hrs after mRNA injection, whole-cell currents were recorded with a two-electrode voltage-clamp apparatus at Vm=-20 mV. Oocyte urrents were recorded in standard Barth's solution (0.3 mM NaNO3, 0.71 mM CaCl2, 0.82 mM MgSO4, 1.0 mM KCl, 2.4 mM NaHCO3, 88 mM NaCl, 15.0 mM HEPES, pH 7.5. Inward proton current was induced by perfusion with Barth's pH 5.3. Percentage block of the priginal inward current by 100 μM test compound was measured just before washout, which was done 8:50 minutes after drug exposure for all compounds except where noted

Viral Mini-Plaque Assay

As described previously (3), MDCK cells were seeded onto coverslips at 2.4x10⁴ cells/via in 1 ml of 5% FBS DMEM. The cells were incubated overnight at 37°C to form a monolay Then the medium was replaced with an aCSF solution (148 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1.6 mM CaCl₂*H₂O, 0.8 mM MgCl₂*6H₂O, 0.75 mM Na₂HPO₄*7H₂O, 0.1 mM NaH₂PO₄*H₂O, pH 7.2) with a test compound 2-50 µM and inoculated with 100µl of trypsinized influenza A virus, either A/CA/07/2009 (1100 PFU/ml), A/WS/33 (1200 PFU/ml), or A/Victoria/03/75 (700 FU/ml), and incubated at 33° C for 16 hours. Each coverslip was then air-dried for 30 m and stained with FITC-labeled antibody for miniplaque counting. EC₅₀s were obtained by least-squares fit of a binding function in KaleidaGraph.

Cytotoxicity Assay

Using a previously described method (4), MDCK cells were seeded at 2 x 10⁴ cells per well in 96-well plates in 5% FBS/DMEM, and incubated for 48 h (37° C; 5% CO₂). After eplacing the growth medium with 100 $\mu l~$ 1% FBS/DMEM containing 1-9 two-fold dilutio of the 1 mM test compound the plates were sealed and incubated at 37° C in 5% CO₂ nosphere for 72 h. Cells from six wells without compound treatment serve as negative ntrols. The wells were then rinsed, fixed, and stained with 50 µl of 0.03% crystal violet (w/v) in 20% methanol for 10 min. After washing, lysis buffer was added to elute the cryst violet and the optical density of individual wells was quantified spectrophotometrically at 620 nm. CC₅₀s were obtained by least-squared fit of a binding function in KaleidaGraph.

Results Amantadine in M2 S31N



Figure 1: Molecular Dynamics Simulations of AMT in M2. *Left:* AMT blocks the WT M2 channel. *Right:* In the S31N channel, AMT does not fully disrupt the water string (5). Subsequent umbrella sampling results also display reduced binding affinity (6).

Liposome Assay



Cu(CO-IDAA) in Liposomes about 40% in the wild type.



Liposome Assay

or S31N

except for S31N CuCl₂ and S31N Cu(AMT-IDA) (N=6).

Preparing for the Emergence of a Highly Pathogenic Influenza Pandemic

Gregory A. Mohl¹, Mckay D. Jensen¹, Spencer K. Wallentine², Kelly L. McGuire¹, Roger G. Harrison PhD², David D. Busath MD³ Departments of ¹Physiology and Developmental Biology and ²Chemistry and Biochemistry Brigham Young University, Provo, UT

Compound Structures



Figure 3: Iminodiacetic Diamide Complexes *From left to right:* Cu(IDAA), Cu(CO-IDAA), Cu(AMT-IDAA)

Figure 6: Potentiometric pH measurements of

The pH change due to protons flowing through M2 into liposomes immediately after adding valinomycin is plotted against time. Valinomycin transports potassium ions out of the liposomes, causing a voltage gradient over the liposome membrane. Protons then enter the posomes through the M2 channel with an approximately exponential decay. The efficacy of an M2 blocker can be assessed by comparing the initial rates of the fitted proton flux through liposomes with and without the compound present. The exemplary traces in this figure (of n=3) indicate a block due to Cu(CO-IDAA) of about 20% in the mutant and

Figure 7: Membrane current traces for *Xenopus laevis* oocytes transfected with Udorn/72 M2 in TEVC

Top: *From left to right:* Traces of Cu(CO-IDAA) with Udorn/72 M2 S31N, WT, and H37A. Bottom: *From left to right:* Traces of CO-IDAA with Udorn/72 M2 S31N and WT At t=0, perfusion is switched to pH 5.3. At t=1 min, perfusion switches to same solution with 100µM of test compound. The 5-minute washout (no drug) is marked by the red arrow. Finally, the perfusion is returned to Barth's pH 7.4 to check cell viability.

Figure 9: Liposome H⁺-uptake inhibition for M2 22-62 WT

Percent block at 2:00 mins (i.e. fast block) after exposure to 100 µM metal omplex is higher for metal complexes than for CuCl₂, which showed no hibition of both S31N and WT. The fast block of the S31N peptide was mparable to that of WT for most compounds, suggesting their potential isefulness against modern AMT-resistant virus. Error bars are +/-SD (N=3,

Oocyte Assay

Figure 10: Transfected Oocyte TEVC Proton Current Inhibition Percent Block at 8:50 mins (or 28:50 mins for Cu(CO-IDA) or 58:50 mins for Cu(AMT-IDA) by 100 μM metal complexes against A/Udorn/72 M2 S31N or A/Udorn/72 M2 (S31) H37A, contrasting with metal-free complexes. Complexation with ligands based on traditional M2 WT blockers, amantadine and cyclooctylamine is beneficial in the context of AMT-insensitive M2.

Purity Analysis

Compound	Copper Content ICP-OES
Cu(IDA)•1.5H ₂ O	Theoretical: 28.7% Observed: 29.4%
Cu(IDAA)	ND
Cu(AMT-IDA) •2H ₂ O	Theoretical: 17.5% Observed: 15.2%
Cu(AMT-IDAA) ●DMF●2H ₂ O	Theoretical: 15.3% Observed: 19.5%
Cu(CO-IDA) •H ₂ O•Acetate•Na	Theoretical: 15.7% Observed: 16.3%
Cu(CO-IDAA) •0.5DMF•2H ₂ O	Theoretical: 16.7% Observed: 15.7%

Figure 4: ICP-OES Purity Analysis Purity of the compounds was assessed by ICP-OES. Elemental Analysis and NMR (not shown) were also used to determine compound purity.

UV absorbance was measured at 0, 4, 24, and 72 hours after dissolving the compound in the buffer.

MiniPlaque Assay

Figure 7: Miniplaque Assay of Cu(CO-IDAA) in A/CA/07/09 The number of miniplaques on the coverslip (n=4) is plotted against the concentration of test compound (µM).

after dissolving the compound in the buffer.

Mini-Plaque Assay EC_{ro} (uM)

Strain	CuCl ₂	Cu(IDA)	Cu(IDAA)	Cu(AMT-IDA)	Cu(AMT-IDAA)	Cu(CO-IDA)	Cu(CO-IDAA)		
A/CA/07/09 (S31N)	3.8 ± 0.9	8.2 ± 2.0	4.4 ± 0.6	6.9 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 0.8	ND	11.6 ± 1.1		
A/WS/33 (S31N)	4.3 ± 1.0	ND	ND	2.4 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 2.4	ND	2.3 ± 0.2		
A/Victoria/75 (WT)	1.1 ± 0.3	ND	ND	4.2 ± 1.7	ND	ND	8.4 ± 0.7		

Figure 12: EC₅₀ of Drug Candidates Against Influenza A Virus in Mini-Plaques The concentration of test compound (μ M) at which 50% of infections were reduced, was determined for the metal complexes in three strains. The results suggest that the test compounds are effective in preventing infection. We consider 5 μ M to be an acceptable EC₅₀ for a useful therapeutic.

Cytotoxicity Assay CC ₅₀ (µM)										
CuCl ₂	Cu(IDA)	Cu(IDAA)	Cu(AMT-IDA)	Cu(AMT-IDAA)	Cu(CO-IDA)	Cu(CO-IDAA)				
19.0 ± 3.6	115 ± 9	64 ± 6	64 ± 7	52 ± 5	147 ± 38	180 ± 15				
	IDA	IDAA	AMT-IDA	AMT-IDAA	CO-IDA	CO-IDAA				
	> 0.5 mM	> 1 mM	> 1 mM	> 1 mM	> 1 mM	258 ± 20				

Figure 13: CC₅₀ of Drug Candidates The concentration of test compound (μ M) at which 50% of cells were non-viable, was determined for the metal complexes and their corresponding ligands. The ligands alone were not toxic below 1mM, except for CO-IDAA. The copper complexes were more toxic, ranging from 52-180 μ M. The preferred toxic concentration is at least 10 tin greater than the EC₅₀. Most of the compounds are in this range.

Figure 7: Stability Assay for Cu(CO-IDAA). UV absorbance was measured at 0, 4, 24, and 72 hours

Conclusions

e potential disease burden of a highly pathogenic, antivira ant, and emerging strain of the influenza virus the need for an array of new antivirals to save c situation. Vaccines are not useful in thi kes to make and distribute vaccines on a ently, the only antivirals that are approved 1 lose their efficacy as new mutant strains emerge wit ed resistance. The M2 has been shown to be a drug target because of the highly conserved H37 e in the TM domain, which is essential for proton tance. Investigators searching for an M2 blocker for th I channel have been, with a few exceptions (8), ssful to date. We have shown that these metal exes are very effective in preventing infection in viral ctivity assays, even in two strains of the S31N mutant.

have shown with liposome and oocyte assays that the per complexes prevent proton flux in the M2 channel wit i higher efficacy than the copper-free ligands. They are en more effective than copper chloride in blocking the annel. The ability to bind at the His-37 site, coupled with a arge ligand that can fill the binding pocket, appears to provic long-term binding and disruption of the water string.

The cytotoxicity results show that the copper complexes were siderably less toxic to the cells than copper chloride. For nple, Cu(CO-IDAA) was shown to be more than 9 times ess toxic than CuCl₂. The ligand that is attached to the copp b seems to have an effect on how toxic the compound is efully, other variations of these compounds could be thesized with yet lower toxicities without sacrificing the pility to block the channel.

nis study shows that adding ligands to copper changes the exicity of the compound and its ability to prevent infection

References

1. Gandhi, C.S.; Shuck, K.; Lear, J.D.; Dieckmann, G.R.; Grado, W.F.; Lamb, R.A.; Pinto, L.H. Cu(II) Inhibition of he Proton Translocation Machinery of the Influenza A irus M2 Protein. *J. of Biol. Chem.,* 1999, *274,* p. 5474-

2. Gordon, N.; Divalent Copper Compounds as Inhibitory gents of Influenza A. Thesis, **2014**.

3. Sharma, M.; Yi, M.; Dong, H.; Qi, H.; Peterson, P.; Busath D.D.; Zhou, H.; Cross, T.A. Insight into the Mechanism of the Influenza A Proton Channel from a Structure in a Lipid Bilayer. *Science, 2010, 550*, p. 509-512

4. Kolocouris, Antonios; Tzitzoglaki, Christina; Johnson, F. ent; Zell, Roland; Wright, Anna K.; Cross, Timothy A.; tjen, lan; Fedida, David; Busath, David D. inoadamantanes with Persistent in Vitro Efficacy against H1N1 (2009) Influenza A. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, p. 4629-

5. Schmidtke. M.: Schnittler. U.: Jahn. B.: Dahse. H.-M.: ner, A. A rapid assay for evaluation of antiviral activity ainst coxsackie virus B3, influenza virus A, and herpes mplex virus type 1. *J. Virol. Methods* **2001**, *95*, 133-145.

6. Gleed, M.; Busath, D. Why bound amantadine fails to hibit proton conductance according to simulations of the drug-resistant influenza A M2 (S31N). J. Phys. Chem. B **2015**, *119*, 1225-1231.

7. Gleed, M. L.; Ioannidis, H.; Kolocouris, A.; Busath, D. D. sistance-mutation (N31) effects on drug orientation and nnel hydration in amantadine-bound Influenza A M2. J. *Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *119*, 11548-59.

8. Li, F.; Ma, C.; DeGrado, W. F.; Wang, J. Discovery of highly ent inhibitors targeting the predominant drug-resistant 31N mutant of the influenza A virus M2 proton channel. J. *ed. Chem*, **2016**, E-Pub Jan. 16.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dallin Gillete for preparations of mRNA and MacKenzie Hart for assistance with some miniplaque assays. This projects was supported by mentoring and stimulus funds from BYU as well as NIH R01 AI23007 to Dr. Timothy A. Cross, Florida State University.